

How do we make better use of research to inform policy and practice?

Introduction

- Growing interest in producing evidence-based policy and practice in governments around the world
- Specific focus on causal evidence of the impacts of particular policies on outcomes for citizens
 - What Works Centres in the UK to synthesize existing and generate new evidence
- While progress has been made, more can be done to make better use of research to inform policy and practice
 - 1. Recognise need for different types of evidence
 - 2. Over-invest in evidence translation
 - 3. Create structures and cultures that incentivise and enable evidence generation
- Lots of examples of impactful research, but here draw from personal experience in research, innovation and government

nesta

1. Recognise the need for different types of evidence

Policy-makers and practitioners rely on at least 3 types of evidence, often simultaneously

1. Descriptive evidence	To understand "Business as Usual"
	To characterise the need/problem to solve
2. Causal Evidence	To determine what works
	To determine what doesn't work
3. Implementation and systems-wide evidence	To understand feasibility, cost, conditions for scale



Let's bring this to life with a couple of examples

Causal evidence of policy impact

Evaluation of Sure Start in England

- Major early childhood initiative to join up services for families of children age 0-4 through `one-stop-shops'
- Turbulent history
 - o Roll out from 1999 to 2010
 - Removal of ring-fence and reduction in funding

Evaluations

- Government-commissioned evaluations in early and late 2000s showed mixed impact
- IFS evaluations of the short- and medium-term impacts of the programme showed widespread impacts and positive returns on investment



estitute for Fiscal Studies

US Separt

The short- and medium-term effects of **Sure Start on** children's outcomes

"We know that previous" investment in strengthening and joining up family services has had a significant positive impact on child outcomes...We will deliver a new Best Start Family Service...."











Sport

Culture

Lifestyle





Advertisement

Education Schools Teachers Universities Students O This article is more than 1 year old

Early years education

Senior Labour figures call for 'lifetransforming' Sure Start policy

Gordon Brown and three former education ministers say New Labour's acclaimed early-years programme benefited millions





transforming' Sure Start policy

millions

Gordon Brown and three former education ministers say

New Labour's acclaimed early-years programme benefited



Strengths and limitations of policy evaluation

Evaluation of Sure Start in England

What this evidence was able to do:

- Facilitate case for investment in family services
- Spur cross-government interest in this approach
- Suggest where to prioritise funding (disadvantaged areas)

What this evidence was not able to do:

- Shed light on what made the programme so successful due to lack of implementation data
 - Inform crucial policy question of depth vs breadth

2. Translating evidence for its users is just as important as generating it

There are clear barriers to engaging and using research

- Policy makers and practitioners are often interested and motivated to ground their decisions in evidence
- But they face a number of barriers to accessing, engaging and using research
 - Time
 - Skills
 - Money
 - Networks
- Need to be intentional about translation of research as an active, non-trivial step
- Ideally tailored to specific use cases
 - This requires specific skillset(s)

nesta

Commissioning parenting support interventions

What is the need?

- Evidence based suggests that some parenting interventions can improve early child outcomes
- Local Authorities receive funding to commission these EBIs and need to choose which interventions to deliver

BUT

- 1. The set of interventions is complex
- 2. Evidence guides list interventions and summarise quality of evidence and strength of impact
- 3. But the way the information is presented makes it impossible to map to local family needs and assess comparability of cost-effectiveness



PaSCAL (parenting support commissioning assistant for local areas)

Pascal = Parenting Support Commissioning Assistant tool for Local Areas

How does PaSCAL meet this need?

- A digital tool to simplify the process of identifying the best parenting programmes for a particular local authority given a particular budget
- Takes in
 - Information and evidence on over 20 programmes (evidence of impact, cost, and suitability for different kinds of families) made comparable
 - Local needs data
 - Commissioners' preferences
- Recommends an optimised portfolio of programmes to ensure highest value for money and impact on GLD subject to delivery needs and preferences
- → Required researchers, designers, tech product developer, data scientists, generalist

Get started

What is your local authority?

PaSCAL uses National Pupil Database (NPD) data to generate a portfolio tailored to needs in your local area

How much will you spend?

This can be an estimate if you're unsure of the exact figure



Do you have a preference for the mix of online and in-person programmes suggested for your local authority?

- O A mix of both
- Mostly in-person
- Mostly online
- No preference

Are any of these other programme features relevant to you?

PaSCAL will do its best to suggest programmes that fit your priorities



Portfolio for Lancashire

Summary

×

<u>PasCAL's GLD model</u> predicts that the impact of these programmes would be to increase the share of children reaching a Good Level of Development (GLD) in 2028 from **63.09% to 63.87%**. For the children in Lancashire whose parents actually receive these programmes, GLD would increase from **63.47%** to 69.21%.

Your selected inputs

We recommend you reach 4,994 families with these programmes at a cost of £143,302.

EasyPeasy Remove

Universal | Cognitive development | Suitable for EAL parents

3,928 families reached at a cost of £98,200 (68.53% of total spend)



Fit to priorities: UK developed

→ Read more

Level 4 Group Triple P Remove

Targeted | Socioemotional development | Suitable for EAL parents

73 families reached at a cost of £13,724 (9.58% of total spend)



Fit to priorities: in person

Read less

Why this intervention has been chosen for Lancashire:

Aside from its **low cost**, **strong evidence base** and **large predicted impact** on GLD, Level 4 Group Triple P has been chosen for you because it is delivered in person, and you said this factor was important to you.

The best alternatives to Level 4 Group Triple P are ParentChild+ and Triple P Online.

3. Create structures and cultures that incentivise and enable evidence generation

Making better use of research requires producing useful research

- Producing useful research requires
 - Relevant research questions
 - Methods and data
 - Funding
 - Professional recognition
- Traditional ways of doing and funding research within academic (and even non-academic institutions) do not always create the right conditions

nesta

3. Create structures and cultures that incentivise and enable evidence generation

Doing so requires some shift in structures and cultures

- Align incentives on the research and policy/practice side
 - o Give more weight to impact in university hiring, ratings (e.g. Reference Excellence Framework) and funding applications
 - Promote policy and practice-oriented research in journals and research communities
 - Promote and reward not only evidence-based but also evidence-generating policy and practice
 - Allowing testing, learning, (failing) in public services

Shorten feedback loops between producers and users of research

- Facilitate access to administrative data and co-production of management information systems
- Build local partnerships between universities and local government and agencies
- Create "Policy Research Units" with flexible funding to tackle and co-design policy-relevant research questions
- Encourage two-way secondments

How do we make better use of research to inform policy and practice?

It is about culture, capabilities and structures

- 1. Recognise need for different types of evidence
- 2. Over-invest in evidence translation for specific use cases
- 3. Create cultures and structures to incentivise and enable useful research